

## Lecture 10 — Data Augmentation

*Prof. Qi Lei**Scribe: Zichun Xia, Jiawei Xu*

## 1 Overview

We will explore Data Augmentation, a method for generating new data from existing datasets while preserving their semantic meaning.

### Topic today

1. Different types of data augmentation.
2. Different views to understand it.
3. How to make full use of it.

### Goal

Reduce overfitting/improve generalization

## 2 Common Ways of Data Augmentation

### Imagery data:

- Operating on a single input  $T : X \rightarrow X$ 
  - Geometric Transform: Flipping, Rotation, Stretch, Zoom in/out, Cropping
  - Randomly Change: RGB color channels, contrast, brightness
  - Kernel Filters: Sharpness, blurring
  - Random Erasing

- Mixup:  $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$

- For instance:

$$x_1, x_2 \rightarrow ax_1 + (1 - a)x_2$$

$$y_1, y_2 \rightarrow ay_1 + (1 - a)y_2$$

soft-labeled w/classification

- GAN(generative model): Generative Synthetic Data
- Neural Style Transfer: Improve robustness of the trained model.

## Audio data:

- increasing noise
- change in pitch/speed
- shifting

## Text Data Augmentation

- Word/sentence shuffling
- Paraphrasing
  - Word replacement
  - Syntax-tree manipulation
- Random word insertion
- Random word deletion

# 3 Theoretical Analysis

## 3.1 Adding Gaussian noise

Using  $l_2$ -loss:

$$(L = \mathbb{E}_{XY \sim P_{XY}} [(f(x) - y)^2])$$

$$L_\epsilon = \mathbb{E}_{x_i \sim P_{X_i}} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)} [(f(x + \epsilon) - y)^2]$$

$$L_{n,m} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m (f(x_i + \epsilon_j) - y_i)^2.$$

Notice:

$$x_i, y_i \sim P_{x,y}, \quad \epsilon_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I).$$

### 3.1.1 Population Loss

Objective function when we add noise:

$$L_\epsilon = \mathbb{E}_{XY \sim P_{XY}} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)} [(f(x + \epsilon) - y)^2] \tag{1}$$

$$\approx \mathbb{E}_{XY \sim P_{XY}} \mathbb{E}_\epsilon [f(x) + \epsilon^T \nabla f(x) + \|\epsilon\|^2 - y]^2 \tag{2}$$

$$= \text{const}(O(\sigma^4)) + \mathbb{E}_{XY \sim P_{XY}} \mathbb{E}_\epsilon [(f(x) - y)^2 + 2\epsilon^T \nabla f(x)(f(x) - y) + (\epsilon^T \nabla f(x))^2] \tag{3}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{XY} \mathbb{E}_\epsilon [(f(x) - y)^2] + 2\epsilon^T \mathbb{E}_{XY} \mathbb{E}_\epsilon [\nabla f(x)(f(x) - y)] + \mathbb{E}_{XY} \mathbb{E}_\epsilon [(\epsilon^T \nabla f(x))^2] \tag{4}$$

$$= L + 0 + \sigma^2 \mathbb{E}_X \|\nabla f(x)\|^2 \tag{5}$$

### 3.1.2 Empirical Loss

$$L_{nm} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j} (f(x_i, \epsilon_j) - y_j)^2 \quad (6)$$

## 3.2 Introducing invariance

Chen et al. [2020] Considering  $G$ : a group of transformations (e.g., all rotations). For  $g \in G : X \rightarrow X : x \rightarrow gx$ , and  $e \in G$  is the identity element of the group. Then, we have the orbit average of  $f$  (take the average of all the rotations on  $X$ ):

$$\bar{f}_{nm} = E_g[f(gx)] = E_g[f(gx)] \quad (7)$$

## 4 Using/Training with Data Augmentation

Yang et al. [2023] Empirical loss with DA:

### 4.1 Adding augmented data to the training data

The empirical loss would be as original:

$$\hat{L}_n = \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}} l(f(A(x_i)), y_i) \quad (8)$$

with  $(x_i, y_i)$  the original training data points,  $\mathcal{A}$  is the set of augmentations, and  $m=|\mathcal{A}|$ .

### 4.2 Encourage data augmentation consistency

To encourage DA consistency, we can train the model based on an alternative empirical loss:

$$\hat{L}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n l(f(x_i), y_i) + \lambda \cdot \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}} d(h(x_i), h(A(x_i))) \quad (9)$$

Here,  $h$  is some invariant representation, predictor  $f = w \cdot h$ .  $\lambda \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}} d(h(x_i), h(A(x_i)))$  encourages the representation augmented data to be similar.

It is shown that DAC handles stronger data augmentation (with misspecification) more than DA.

Without misspecification:

$$A(x) = \begin{bmatrix} A_1(x_1) \\ A_2(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ A_m(x_1) \end{bmatrix}_{n \times (m \times d)}, \quad M(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_1 & \dots & x_1 \\ x_2 & x_2 & \dots & x_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_n & x_n & \dots & x_n \end{bmatrix}_{n \times d}.$$

With  $m = |A|$ , let  $d_{aug} = rank(A(x) - M(x))$ ,

With DAC, we have:

(a) For linear function class:

$$E \left[ L(\hat{f}_{DAC}) - L^* \right] \leq O \left( \frac{(d - d_{aug})\sigma^2}{n} \right) .vs(DA : O \left( \frac{d\sigma^2}{n} \right)),$$

which shows a tighter upper bound compared to the naive case (without DAC, ie. using empirical loss with only the first term of the equation we have excess risk of DA > risk of DAC.

(b) w/ two-layer neural network

$$(DAC) \leq O \left( C_\omega \sqrt{\frac{(d - d_{aux})\sigma^2}{n}} \right) \cdot \leq O \left( C_\omega \sqrt{\frac{d\sigma^2}{n}} \right) .$$

$$f_0 = (X \cdot B)_+ \cdot w.$$

where the predictor of the shallow network is  $f_0 = (X \cdot B)_+ \cdot w$ ,  $B$  is orthogonal and  $\|w\|_1 \leq C_w$ .

## 5 DA as feature manipulation

Shen et al. [2022] Imaging an image of a car driving on a straight road in the daytime, you can view the blue sky as the background. We have the features:

(a) easy and good to learn: the car body.

(b) hard but good to learn: small features.

(c) easy but bad to learn: spurious feature and irrelevant feature.

(d) hard and bad to learn: spurious feature and irrelevant feature which contribute to the gradient.

Analyze the trending dynamics of GD:

GD fit data with (a) and (c) first, which may leads to overfit to noise/ bad features.

DA: (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a), (c) $\Rightarrow$ (d)

Still, take example of an image of a car driving on a straight road in the daytime, you can view the blue sky as the background.

$$x = \text{a single sample point}$$

$x_1$	corresponds to	D-patches
$x_2$	corresponds to	cor unde
$\vdots$		
$x_{patch}$	$\Rightarrow$ corresponds to	cor body
$\vdots$	corresponds to	road
$\vdots$	corresponds to	cloud
$x_p$		

Here  $x_p$  is the  $k$ -th good feature.

We have good features

$$x_k = \rho_k \cdot y \cdot k.$$

which includes small features hard to learn, and large features easy to learn.

We also have bad features

$$\sigma_\xi^2 \text{ is large. } \xi \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{d} I\right).$$

Define the network as:

$$f(W; x) = \sum_c \sum_p \psi(w_c \cdot x_p)$$

With gradient flow on logistic regression objective of  $f$ :

Learning dynamics on good features:

$$\frac{d}{dx}(w_c \cdot v_k) \approx \rho_k \psi'(|w_c \cdot v_k|).$$

Learning on noise:

$$\frac{d}{dt} w_{c,\xi}^{(i)} \approx \frac{1}{n} \sigma_\xi^2 y^{(i)} \psi'(|w_{c,\xi}^{(i)}|).$$

## 6 Summary

DA (without misspecification):

good and hard converted to good and easy features

bad and easy converted to bad and hard features.

## References

- Shuxiao Chen, Edgar Dobriban, and Jane H Lee. A group-theoretic framework for data augmentation. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 21(245):1–71, 2020.
- Ruoqi Shen, Sébastien Bubeck, and Suriya Gunasekar. Data augmentation as feature manipulation. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 19773–19808. PMLR, 2022.
- Shuo Yang, Yijun Dong, Rachel Ward, Inderjit S Dhillon, Sujay Sanghavi, and Qi Lei. Sample efficiency of data augmentation consistency regularization. In *International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, pages 3825–3853. PMLR, 2023.